

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	<b>ES-1</b>
ES-1 Purpose of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement .....	ES-1
ES-1.1 Project Purpose and Need .....	ES-1
ES-2 Alternatives Development .....	ES-3
ES-2.1 No Action Alternative .....	ES-3
ES-2.2 Action Alternatives and Recommended LPA Design Options .....	ES-4
ES-3 Summary of DEIS Findings .....	ES-8
ES-3.1 Effectiveness in Achieving the Purpose and Need .....	ES-9
ES-3.2 Transportation and Safety Effects .....	ES-11
ES-3.3 Impacts to the Natural and Human Environment .....	ES-16
ES-3.4 Public Involvement and Outreach .....	ES-20
ES-3.5 Project Costs and Funding .....	ES-21
ES-4 Balancing Benefits and Impacts .....	ES-21
ES-5 Next Steps .....	ES-24
<b>Chapter 1.0 Purpose and Need</b> .....	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Purpose of the Project .....	1-1
1.2 Context .....	1-1
1.2.1 Existing Land Use .....	1-1
1.2.2 Planned Development and Changing Land Use .....	1-3
1.2.3 Population and Employment Growth .....	1-5
1.2.4 Existing Rail Transportation .....	1-5
1.2.5 Existing Bus Transportation .....	1-8
1.2.6 Commuter Shuttle Service .....	1-12
1.2.7 Transit Service Markets .....	1-12
1.2.8 Roadways .....	1-13
1.3 Project History .....	1-14
1.4 Need for the Project .....	1-16
1.4.1 Need for Faster, More Reliable Public Transit Service to the King of Prussia Area .....	1-17
1.4.2 Need for Improved Transit Connections to and within the King of Prussia-Valley Forge Area .....	1-18
1.4.3 Need to Better Serve Existing Transit Patrons and Accommodate New Patrons .....	1-18
<b>Chapter 2.0 Alternatives Considered</b> .....	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Alternatives Development and Evaluation .....	2-1
2.1.1 Previous Studies .....	2-1
2.1.2 Pre-Scoping Activities .....	2-2

2.1.3	NEPA Initiation - Scoping and Alternatives Development.....	2-5
2.2	DEIS Alternatives .....	2-12
2.2.1	No Action Alternative .....	2-16
2.2.2	PECO–1 <sup>st</sup> Ave. Action Alternative .....	2-17
2.2.3	PECO/TP–1 <sup>st</sup> Ave. Action Alternative (recommended LPA) .....	2-19
2.2.4	PA Turnpike North/South Option (for the recommended LPA) .....	2-22
2.2.5	9/11 Memorial Avoidance Option (for the recommended LPA) .....	2-27
2.2.6	PECO/TP–N. Gulph Action Alternative .....	2-30
2.2.7	US 202-1 <sup>st</sup> Ave. Action Alternative .....	2-32
2.2.8	US 202-N. Gulph Action Alternative .....	2-35
2.2.9	69th Street Transportation Center .....	2-37
2.3	Station Areas and Park-and-Ride Facilities .....	2-38
2.4	Bus and Shuttle Services .....	2-43
2.5	Vehicles.....	2-44
2.6	Other Infrastructure .....	2-44
2.7	Operating Characteristics & Signal System .....	2-45
2.8	Overview of Construction Activities.....	2-47
2.8.1	Construction Schedule .....	2-47
2.8.2	Minimization and Mitigation .....	2-49
2.8.3	Transportation Management Plan .....	2-51
2.8.4	Environmental Compliance Plan .....	2-51
<b>Chapter 3.0</b>	<b>Transportation Effects .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	Public Transportation .....	3-1
3.1.1	Methodology .....	3-1
3.1.2	Affected Environment .....	3-2
3.1.3	Environmental Consequences .....	3-8
3.2	Roadways.....	3-23
3.2.1	Methodology .....	3-23
3.2.2	Affected Environment .....	3-27
3.2.3	Environmental Consequences .....	3-32
3.3	Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities.....	3-35
3.3.1	Methodology .....	3-35
3.3.2	Affected Environment .....	3-35
3.3.3	Environmental Consequences .....	3-36
3.4	Public Parking Facilities .....	3-37
3.4.1	Methodology .....	3-37
3.4.2	Affected Environment .....	3-37
3.4.3	Environmental Consequences .....	3-37

3.5	Railroad Facilities and Operations .....	3-38
3.5.1	Methodology .....	3-38
3.5.2	Affected Environment .....	3-38
3.5.3	Environmental Consequences .....	3-39
3.6	Safety, Security and Emergency Services .....	3-39
3.6.1	Methodology .....	3-39
3.6.2	Affected Environment .....	3-39
3.6.3	Environmental Consequences .....	3-40
<b>Chapter 4.0</b>	<b>Affected Environment and Potential Consequences.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	Study Areas.....	4-2
4.2	Land Use Patterns and Consistency with Plans .....	4-2
4.2.1	Regulatory Context and Methodology.....	4-2
4.2.2	Affected Environment .....	4-4
4.2.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-9
4.3	Economic Development .....	4-11
4.3.1	Regulatory Setting and Methodology.....	4-11
4.3.2	Affected Environment .....	4-11
4.3.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-12
4.4	Community Cohesion and Facilities.....	4-16
4.4.1	Regulatory Context and Methodology.....	4-16
4.4.2	Affected Environment .....	4-16
4.4.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-19
4.5	Property Acquisitions and Displacements.....	4-22
4.5.1	Regulatory Context and Methodology.....	4-22
4.5.2	Affected Environment .....	4-23
4.5.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-23
4.6	Parks, Recreational Land and Open Space.....	4-27
4.6.1	Regulatory Context and Methodology.....	4-27
4.6.2	Affected Environment .....	4-28
4.6.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-29
4.7	Historic and Archaeological Resources .....	4-32
4.7.1	Regulatory Context and Methodology.....	4-33
4.7.2	Affected Environment .....	4-36
4.7.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-40
4.8	Visual and Aesthetic Resources .....	4-45
4.8.1	Regulatory Context and Methodology.....	4-45
4.8.2	Affected Environment .....	4-46
4.8.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-49

4.9	Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases .....	4-56
4.9.1	Regulatory Context and Methodology .....	4-56
4.9.2	Affected Environment .....	4-61
4.9.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-62
4.10	Noise and Vibration .....	4-64
4.10.1	Regulatory Context and Methodology .....	4-64
4.10.2	Affected Environment .....	4-67
4.10.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-68
4.11	Natural Resources .....	4-77
4.11.1	Regulatory Context and Methodology .....	4-78
4.11.2	Affected Environment .....	4-79
4.11.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-82
4.12	Contaminated Materials and Hazardous Waste .....	4-89
4.12.1	Regulatory Context and Methodology .....	4-89
4.12.2	Affected Environment .....	4-89
4.12.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-90
4.13	Utilities and Energy Use .....	4-91
4.13.1	Regulatory Context and Methodology .....	4-91
4.13.2	Affected Environment .....	4-92
4.13.3	Environmental Consequences .....	4-93
4.14	Environmental Justice .....	4-94
4.14.1	Regulatory Context .....	4-94
4.14.2	Identifying Potential EJ Populations .....	4-95
4.14.3	Standards for Evaluating Effects .....	4-96
4.14.4	Affected Environment .....	4-96
4.14.5	Public Involvement .....	4-98
4.14.6	Environmental Consequences .....	4-102
4.14.7	Potential for Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects on EJ Populations .....	4-108
4.15	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources .....	4-108
4.15.1	No Action Alternative .....	4-109
4.15.2	Action Alternatives .....	4-109
4.16	Environmental Permits .....	4-110
<b>Chapter 5.0</b>	<b>Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	Supporting Project Information .....	5-1
5.2	Methodology .....	5-1
5.2.1	Identification of Section 4(f) Properties .....	5-2
5.2.2	Definition of Section 4(f) Uses .....	5-3
5.2.3	Temporary Occupancy Exception .....	5-3
5.2.4	Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation .....	5-3

5.2.5	<i>De minimis</i> .....	5-4
5.2.6	Supporting Project Information .....	5-5
5.3	Identification and Assessment of Use of Section 4(f) Properties .....	5-5
5.3.1	Chester Valley Trail Extension .....	5-6
5.3.2	PA Turnpike: Delaware River Extension .....	5-12
5.3.3	PECO Easement .....	5-17
5.3.4	Kingwood Road Park.....	5-19
5.3.5	King of Prussia Inn .....	5-20
5.3.6	Pennsylvania Railroad: Morrisville Line.....	5-21
5.3.7	Pennsylvania Turnpike: Philadelphia Extension .....	5-22
5.3.8	General Electric Space Technology Center .....	5-25
5.3.9	American Baptist Churches, USA Mission Center .....	5-27
5.3.10	Valley Forge National Historical Park.....	5-31
5.3.11	Philadelphia and Western Railway (NHSL).....	5-33
5.3.12	Philadelphia Transit Co. Building (69 <sup>th</sup> Street Transportation Center) .....	5-35
5.4	Avoidance Alternatives Analysis .....	5-37
5.4.1	Avoidance Alternative Feasibility and Prudence Standards .....	5-37
5.4.2	Avoidance Alternative #1: No Action Alternative .....	5-38
5.4.3	Location Alternatives .....	5-39
5.4.4	Alternative Actions.....	5-39
5.4.5	Alignment Shifts and Design Changes.....	5-40
5.5	Least Overall Harm Analysis.....	5-41
5.6	All Possible Planning to Minimize Harm .....	5-47
5.7	Coordination .....	5-49
<b>Chapter 6.0</b>	<b>Indirect and Cumulative Effects.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	Introduction and Methodology.....	6-1
6.1.1	Resources of Interest .....	6-2
6.1.2	Geographic Study Areas .....	6-2
6.1.3	Temporal Boundaries .....	6-3
6.1.4	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects .....	6-5
6.2	Indirect Effects Assessment.....	6-8
6.2.1	Human environment .....	6-10
6.2.2	Natural environment .....	6-11
6.3	Cumulative Effects Assessment.....	6-11
6.3.1	Transportation Systems and Facilities .....	6-11
6.3.2	Community Facilities .....	6-13
6.3.3	Parks, Recreational Land and Open Space .....	6-13
6.3.4	Historic and Archaeological Resources .....	6-13
6.3.5	Visual and Aesthetic Resources.....	6-14
6.3.6	Air Quality .....	6-14

6.3.7	Noise and vibration.....	6-14
6.3.8	Natural environment.....	6-15
6.3.9	Energy Use.....	6-16
6.3.10	Environmental Justice (EJ).....	6-16
<b>Chapter 7.0</b>	<b>Public Outreach and Agency Coordination.....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	Public Outreach.....	7-1
7.1.1	Approach.....	7-1
7.1.2	Public Outreach Communication Methods.....	7-1
7.1.3	Summary of Public Outreach Activities.....	7-3
7.2	Agency Coordination.....	7-16
7.2.1	Approach.....	7-16
7.2.2	Summary of Agency Coordination Activities.....	7-16
7.2.3	Summary of Key Agency Themes and SEPTA Actions.....	7-19
7.3	Role of Input in Recommended LPA Selection.....	7-24
7.4	Next Steps.....	7-28
<b>Chapter 8.0</b>	<b>Evaluation of Alternatives.....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.1	Introduction.....	8-1
8.2	Effectiveness in Meeting the Purpose and Need.....	8-1
8.2.1	The Need for Faster, More Reliable Public Transit Service to the Area.....	8-2
8.2.2	The Need for Improved Transit Connections To, From and Within the King of Prussia-Valley Forge Area.....	8-3
8.2.3	The Need to Better Serve Existing Transit Patrons and Accommodate New Patrons.....	8-7
8.3	Considering Benefits and Impacts.....	8-9
8.3.1	Public Input.....	8-9
8.3.2	Agency and Stakeholder Input.....	8-10
8.4	Comparative Analysis.....	8-12
8.4.1	No Action Alternative.....	8-13
8.4.2	Action Alternatives.....	8-21
8.5	Environmentally Preferred Alternative.....	8-33
8.5.1	No Action Alternative.....	8-33
8.5.2	Action Alternatives.....	8-33
8.6	Next Steps.....	8-35
8.6.1	DEIS and Public Comment Period.....	8-35
8.6.2	Preliminary Project Costs and Funding.....	8-35

## List of Tables

---

Table ES-1:	Local and Regional Economic Benefits of the Project.....	ES-23
Table ES-2:	Summary of Benefits and Impacts – Minimization and Mitigation ..... Commitments.....	ES-25
Table 1-2.1:	NHSL 2014 Average Weekday Ridership .....	1-7
Table 1-2.2:	Summary of Bus Service Levels and Operations.....	1-10
Table 1-2.3:	V/C Ratios for I-76 – 2013 Base Year and 2040 No Action Conditions .....	1-14
Table 2-2.1:	Characteristics of DEIS Alternatives.....	2-19
Table 2-2.2:	Committed Projects by 2040 .....	2-20
Table 2-3.1:	Relative Size of Station Service Areas .....	2-44
Table 2-3.2:	Proposed Station Areas.....	2-46
Table 2-7.1:	Number of Project Trains per Hour by NHSL Segment.....	2-51
Table 2-8.1:	Typical Construction Activities.....	2-53
Table 3-1.1:	Public Transit Services in the NHSL Service Area .....	3-3
Table 3-1.2:	NHSL Average Weekday Ridership, 2010-2015.....	3-5
Table 3-1.3:	Action Alternatives Ridership Increase Forecast, 2013 and 2040 .....	3-10
Table 3-1.4:	Average Weekday 2040 Transit Boardings, NHSL Service Area .....	3-11
Table 3-1.5:	Average Weekday 2040 Boardings by Station, NHSL Rail Stations and Project Stations .....	3-11
Table 3-1.6:	Average Weekday 2040 Transit Boardings, Transportation Study Area .....	3-14
Table 3-1.7:	2040 Mode Shift, Action Alternatives .....	3-15
Table 3-1.8:	Existing and Future (with Project) Peak Period Transit Travel Times .....	3-18
Table 3-1.9:	Annual Travel Time Savings .....	3-19
Table 3-1.10:	Locations of Potential Short-Term Construction Effects to Bus Service.....	3-21
Table 3-1.11:	Potential Short-Term Construction Effects to Shuttle Service .....	3-22
Table 3-2.1:	2040 Forecasted Mode of Access to Kiss-and-Ride Stations and Park-and-Ride Stations - PM Peak Period.....	3-24
Table 3-2.2:	Existing 2013 Intersection LOS/Delay.....	3-31
Table 3-2.3:	2040 Intersection LOS/Delay, No Action Alternative .....	3-32
Table 3-2.4:	2040 Intersection LOS/Delay, Action Alternatives .....	3-34
Table 4-3.1:	Local and Regional Economic Benefits of the Project.....	4-14
Table 4-4.1:	Residential Neighborhoods in the Transportation Study Area.....	4-17
Table 4-4.2:	Community Facilities in Proposed Station Areas .....	4-18
Table 4-4.3:	Brief Demographic Profile of King of Prussia.....	4-18
Table 4-4.4:	Summary of Potential Community Facility Benefits and Impacts .....	4-20
Table 4-5.1:	Potential Partial and Full Property Acquisitions .....	4-25
Table 4-6.1:	Potential Effects on Parks, Recreational Land, and Open Space .....	4-30
Table 4-7.1:	Previously Identified Historic Architectural Resources in the Project APEs .....	4-37
Table 4-7.2:	Properties Surveyed Within APEs.....	4-38

Table 4-7.3:	Potential Historic Property Impacts and Adverse Effects .....	4-41
Table 4-8.1:	Summary of Visual Assessment .....	4-49
Table 4-9.1:	National and Pennsylvania Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	4-57
Table 4-9.2:	Peak Hour Vehicle Miles Traveled, No Action and Action Alternatives .....	4-63
Table 4-10.1:	FTA Land Use Categories and Noise Metrics .....	4-65
Table 4-10.2:	Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Criteria for Annoyance during Operations and Construction.....	4-66
Table 4-10.3:	Predicted Noise Impacts By the Action Alternatives.....	4-69
Table 4-10.4:	Train Trips by Existing NHSL Segment – Existing Conditions and with the Project .....	4-75
Table 4-10.5:	Predicted Vibration Impacts by the Action Alternatives .....	4-76
Table 4-11.1:	Potential Forest and Field Impacts .....	4-83
Table 4-11.2:	Estimated Change in Impervious Surface Area.....	4-85
Table 4-13.1:	Utility Providers in the Project Study Area.....	4-92
Table 4-14.1:	Indicators of EJ Populations by Block Group (2011-2015).....	4-97
Table 4-14.2:	Summary of Potential No Action Alternative Effects.....	4-102
Table 4-14.3:	Community Concerns and SEPTA Actions and Responses .....	4-103
Table 5-3.1:	Section 4(f) Properties Evaluated .....	5-7
Table 5-3.2:	Potential Use of Section 4(f) Properties by Each Action Alternative.....	5-9
Table 5-5.1:	Least Harm Analysis Summary.....	5-45
Table 6-1.1:	Planned Development Projects in Upper Merion Township.....	6-6
Table 6-1.2:	Planned Transportation Projects in Upper Merion Township.....	6-8
Table 6-2.1:	Areas of Potential Higher Intensity Zoning Within ½ Mile of Proposed Station Areas .....	6-10
Table 7-1.1:	Summary of Public Meetings and Workshops.....	7-9
Table 7-1.2:	Summary of Other Interested Parties Meetings .....	7-12
Table 7-2.1:	List of Participating Agencies for the Project.....	7-17
Table 7-2.2:	Summary of Agency Comments and SEPTA Actions.....	7-21
Table 7-3.1:	Summary of Key Decision-making Comment Themes and SEPTA Actions.....	7-26
Table 8-2.1:	Performance of Alternatives – Purpose and Need .....	8-4
Table 8-4.1:	Summary of Benefits and Impacts.....	8-15
Table 8-6.1:	Preliminary Capital and O&M Costs.....	8-36

## List of Figures

Figure ES-1:	Transportation Study Area .....	ES-2
Figure ES-2:	Action Alternatives .....	ES-5
Figure ES-3:	SEPTA N5 Vehicle .....	ES-8
Figure 1-1.1:	Existing Land Use .....	1-2
Figure 1-1.2:	Key Study Area Destinations .....	1-4

Figure 1-2.1:	Norristown High Speed Line .....	1-6
Figure 1-2.2:	Transportation Study Area SEPTA Bus Routes and Shuttle Services .....	1-9
Figure 1-3.1:	Alternative A1, 2003 NHSL Extension Draft Alternatives Analysis .....	1-15
Figure 2-1.1:	Long List of Alternatives for Tier 1 Screening .....	2-5
Figure 2-1.2:	Preliminary Alternatives for Tier 2 Screening .....	2-8
Figure 2-1.3:	Preliminary PECO Alternatives .....	2-11
Figure 2-1.4:	Preliminary PECO/TP Turnpike Alternatives .....	2-12
Figure 2-1.5:	Preliminary US Route 202 Alternatives.....	2-13
Figure 2-1.6:	Action Alternatives for Tier 3 Analysis .....	2-17
Figure 2-2.1:	PECO–1 <sup>st</sup> Ave. Action Alternative.....	2-24
Figure 2-2.2:	PECO/TP–1 <sup>st</sup> Ave. Action Alternative .....	2-28
Figure 2-2.3:	Rendering of the Project behind the King of Prussia Mall .....	2-29
Figure 2-2.4:	PA Turnpike North/South Option (for the recommended LPA).....	2-31
Figure 2-2.5:	Rendering of PA Turnpike North/South Option Crossing US Route 202.....	2-33
Figure 2-2.6:	Rendering of PA Turnpike North/South Option Crossing PA Turnpike .....	2-33
Figure 2-2.7:	9/11 Memorial Avoidance Option (for the recommended LPA) .....	2-35
Figure 2-2.8:	PECO/TP - N. Gulph Action Alternative .....	2-38
Figure 2-2.9:	US 202–1 <sup>st</sup> Ave. Action Alternative .....	2-40
Figure 2-2.10:	Alternative Rendering along 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue .....	2-41
Figure 2-2.11:	US 202-N. Gulph Action Alternative.....	2-43
Figure 2-3.1:	Typical Station Layout Plan .....	2-49
Figure 2-5.1:	SEPTA N5 Vehicle .....	2-51
Figure 2-8.1:	Project Schedule .....	2-55
Figure 2-8.2:	Elevated Guideway Construction.....	2-56
Figure 2-8.3:	Elevated Guideway Construction.....	2-56
Figure 3-1.1:	NHSL Service Area.....	3-4
Figure 3-1.2:	Current Bus and Shuttle Services.....	3-6
Figure 3-2.1:	2040 Transportation Study Area Roadway Congestion.....	3-29
Figure 3-2.2:	Intersections Analyzed: Henderson Road Park-and-Ride .....	3-30
Figure 3-2.3:	Intersections Analyzed: Convention Center Park-and-Ride .....	3-31
Figure 4-2.1:	Land Uses in the Transportation Study Area.....	4-6
Figure 4-2.2:	Upper Merion Township Draft Zoning Map.....	4-8
Figure 4-8.1:	VAU 1 - PECO Right-of-way West of PA Turnpike.....	4-46
Figure 4-8.2:	VAU 2 – King of Prussia Mall Area .....	4-47
Figure 4-8.3:	VAU 3 – 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue .....	4-47
Figure 4-8.4:	VAU 4 – PECO East of PA Turnpike .....	4-47
Figure 4-8.5:	VAU 5 – N. Gulph Road.....	4-48
Figure 4-8.6:	VAU 6 – US Route 202.....	4-48
Figure 4-8.7:	VAU 7 – PA Turnpike.....	4-48

Figure 4-8.8:	View along PECO Corridor at Valley Forge Homes .....	4-50
Figure 4-8.9:	Backyard View toward PA Turnpike at a Property on Powderhorn Drive.....	4-53
Figure 4-8.10:	Rendering of Recommended LPA at Valley Forge Homes .....	4-54
Figure 4-8.11:	Rendering of PA Turnpike North/South Option at Valley Forge Homes .....	4-54
Figure 4-10.1:	FTA Project Noise Impact Criteria .....	4-65
Figure 4-10.2:	FTA Generalized Ground Surface Vibration Curves .....	4-67
Figure 4-10.3:	Predicted Noise and Vibration Impacts under the PECO–1st Ave. Action .....	4-70
	Alternative.....	4-70
Figure 4-10.4:	Predicted Noise and Vibration Impacts under the PECO/TP–1st Ave. Action .....	4-71
	Alternative.....	4-71
Figure 4-10.5:	Predicted Noise and Vibration Impacts under PECO/TP–N. Gulph Action .....	4-72
	Alternative.....	4-72
Figure 4-10.6:	Predicted Noise and Vibration Impacts under the US 202–1st Ave. Action .....	4-73
	Alternative.....	4-73
Figure 4-10.7:	Predicted Noise and Vibration Impacts under the US 202–N. Gulph Action .....	4-74
	Alternative.....	4-74
Figure 4-12.1:	Numbers of Potential Areas of Concern .....	4-90
Figure 4-14.1:	Minority, Non-Hispanic Populations - Transportation Study Area .....	4-99
Figure 4.14.2:	Minority, Hispanic Populations – Transportation Study Area .....	4-100
Figure 4.14.3:	Households in Poverty – Transportation Study Area.....	4-101
Figure 5-3.1:	Chester Valley Trail Extension ROW Across US Route 202 .....	5-6
Figure 5-3.2:	PA Turnpike: Delaware River Extension .....	5-12
Figure 5-3.3:	Proposed Use of PA Turnpike: Delaware River Extension, Area 1 of 2 .....	5-14
Figure 5-3.4:	Proposed Use of PA Turnpike: Delaware River Extension, Area 2 of 2 .....	5-15
Figure 5-3.5:	PECO Easement.....	5-17
Figure 5-3.6:	Kingwood Road Park .....	5-19
Figure 5-3.7:	King of Prussia Inn .....	5-20
Figure 5-3.8:	Pennsylvania Railroad: Morrisville Line (Across N. Gulph Road).....	5-21
Figure 5-3.9:	Pennsylvania Turnpike Philadelphia Extension .....	5-22
Figure 5-3.10:	General Electric Space Technology Center.....	5-25
Figure 5-3.11:	American Baptist Churches, USA Mission Center .....	5-27
Figure 5-3.12:	Proposed Use of American Baptist Churches, USA Mission Center .....	5-29
Figure 5-3.13:	Valley Forge National Historical Park.....	5-31
Figure 5-3.14:	Philadelphia and Western Railway/Norristown High Speed Line .....	5-33
Figure 5-3.15:	Philadelphia Transit Co. Building (69 <sup>th</sup> Street Transportation Center).....	5-35
Figure 6-1.1:	Indirect and Cumulative Effects Study Areas .....	6-4